



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/945,179	08/30/2001	Todd J. Sheldon	P-8729	2977
27581	7590 11/03/2004	•	EXAMINER	
MEDTRON	•	•	GETZOW,	SCOTT M
710 MEDTRO MS-LC340	ONIC PARKWAY NE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	LIS, MN 55432-5604		3762	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/945,179	SHELDON ET AL. Art Unit	V
Office Action Summary	Examiner		
	Scott M. Getzow	3762	
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the seriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statute of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, by statute, cause the application to become Af	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>02 August 2004</u> .		
· ·	☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for			erits is
closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.L	7. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,25-27,34,50-53 and 55</u> is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,25-27,34,50-53 and 55</u> is/are 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 	withdrawn from consideration.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be) accepted or b) objected to on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyard correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A the priority documents have been l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Sta	age
* See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certilled copies not	receiveu.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date	-948) Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-15 	52)

Art Unit: 3762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. Claims 1,34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Natarajan et al.

See previous office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claim 50 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Natarajan in view of Siegel et al '426.

See previous office action.

3. Claims 25-27,51-53,55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Natarajan in view of Olson '084.

See previous office action.

- 4. The declarations filed on 8/2/04 under 37 CFR 1.131 have been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Natarajan reference.
- 5. The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish diligence from a date prior to the date of reduction to practice of the Natarajan reference to either a constructive reduction to practice or an actual reduction to practice. There are insufficient facts set forth in the declarations submitted to establish that applicant had the requisite diligence from the date of conception to the reduction to practice. Further, no supporting documentation has been submitted.

Art Unit: 3762

- 6. The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish a conception of the invention prior to the effective date of the Natarajan reference. While conception is the mental part of the inventive act, it must be capable of proof, such as by demonstrative evidence or by a complete disclosure to another. Conception is more than a vague idea of how to solve a problem. The requisite means themselves and their interaction must also be comprehended. See *Mergenthaler v. Scudder*, 1897 C.D. 724, 81 O.G. 1417 (D.C. Cir. 1897). As mentioned supra, no supporting evidence has been submitted which support the assertions in the declarations.
- 7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott M. Getzow whose telephone number is (703) 308-2997. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

Art Unit: 3762

 ${}^{t}\mathbf{c}$

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott M. Getzow Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

Smg